AMRL-TR-78-24 ADAD64952 W. Tator



MUTAGEN AND ONCOGEN STUDY ON JP-4

DAVID J. BRUSICK, Ph.D. DALE W. MATHESON, Ph.D.

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 5516 NICHOLSON LANE KENSINGTON, MARYLAND 20795

SEPTEMBER 1978

20060706005

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

STINFO COPY

NOTICES

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Please do not request copies of this report from Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. Additional copies may be purchased from:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161

Federal Government agencies and their contractors registered with Defense Documentation Center should direct requests for copies of this report to:

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL

AMRL-TR-78-24

The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER

ANTHONY A. THOMAS, MD

Director

Toxic Hazards Division

Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory

AIR FORCE/56780/5 February 1979 - 100

UNCLASSIFIED
LURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

SECONTIT CENSSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (MINER DELE	1	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AMRL-TR-78-24		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
MUTAGEN AND ONCOGEN STUDY ON JP-	./i	FINAL REPORT
PIOTAGEN AND GREGORIN STORY ON GT	' 'T	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
DAVID BRUSICK, Ph.D., DALE W. MA	THESON, Ph.D.	F33615-77-C-0518
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
LITTON BIONETICS, INC.		AREA WORK GRIT ROMOTAL
5516 NICHOLSON LANE		62202F; 6302/01/54
KENSINGTON. MD 20795		12. REPORT DATE
	TIL LABODATORY	SEPTEMBER 1978
6570TH AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARC WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO	H LABURATURY	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		59
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II differen	it from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		<u> </u>
,		
Approved for public release; d	istribution unli	mited.
'7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered	in Block 20. if different fro	m Report)
l	30, 10 20	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
	didantific by black numbers	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	a igentity by block number;	
JP-4, MUTAGENESIS, DNA REPAIR, M	TODOCOME ACTIVATI	TON
UP-4, MUTAGENESIS, DNA REPAIR, M.	ICKUSUME ACTIVATI	LON
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary end	d Identify by block number)	
•		
The material evaluated in this stassays employing microbial cells	tudy was subjecte	ed to a matrix of in vitro
tests measuring potential germ co		
12300 measuring poolingial germ of	circops in III	oc ana rabb.
JP-4 was shown to induce nonspec	ific DNA damage i	in WI-38 cells and possibly
preimplantation loss in rats. A negative. These results are not	<pre>11 other data fro strong indicatio</pre>	om the test battery were

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

20. Continued

be considered as representing minimal genetic toxicity. Neither the unscheduled DNA synthesis or preimplantation loss are conclusive indicators of mutation. Their implications for potential carcinogenesis must also be considered weak in light of the majority of negative test data.

PREFACE

This research was initiated by the Toxicology Branch, Toxic Hazards Division, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. Experiments were performed under Contract F33615-77-C-0518 by Litton Bionetics, Inc., 5516 Nicholson Lane, Kensington, Maryland 20795.

The experiments were conducted by David J. Brusick, Ph.D., and Dale W. Matheson, Ph.D., of Litton Bionetics, Inc., Kensington, Maryland 20795. Kenneth C. Back, Ph.D., was contract monitor for the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ro	ige No.
REPOR	RT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	i
PREFA	ACE	iii
LIST	OF TABLES	ix
GENER	RAL INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS	1
	INTRODUCTION	1
	INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS	1
	Microbial Assay	1 1 2 2
	CONCLUSIONS	2
PART	I - MICROBIAL ASSAY	3
	EVALUATION SUMMARY	3
	OBJECTIVE	4
	MATERIALS	4
	Test Compound	4 4 4 5 5
	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	5
	Plate Test (Overlay Method)	5 6
	EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR PLATE ASSAYS	6
	Surviving Populations	6 8 8 9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		Page No.
PART	II - MOUSE LYMPHOMA ASSAY	11
	EVALUATION SUMMARY	11
	OBJECTIVE	12
	MATERIALS	12
	Test Compound	12 12 12
	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	12
	Toxicity	13
	RESULTS	14
	CRITERIA USED IN THE EVALUATION	14
PART	III - UNSCHEDULED DNA SYNTHESIS IN WI-38 CELLS	17
	EVALUATION SUMMARY	17
	OBJECTIVE	18
	MATERIALS	18
	Test Compound	18 18
	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	18
	Cell Preparation	18 19 19
	RESULTS	20
	CRITERIA USED IN THE EVALUATION	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	,	age No.
PART	IV-A - MOUSE DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY	23
	EVALUATION SUMMARY	23
	OBJECTIVE	24
	MATERIALS	24
	OVERVIEW AND RATIONALE	24
	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	24
	Animals	25 25 25 25
	RESULTS	26
	DOMINANT LETHALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA	26
PART	IV-B - RAT DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY	33
	EVALUATION SUMMARY	33
	OBJECTIVE	34
	MATERIALS	34
	OVERVIEW AND RATIONALE	34
	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	34
	Animals	35 35 35 35
	RESULTS	36
	DOMINANT LETHALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA	37
STAN	DARD OPERATING PROCEDURES	45
APPE	NDIX - ANALYSIS OF DATA	A-1

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	NO.
Summary of Plate Test Results	7	
Summary of Mouse Lymphoma (L5178Y) Results	15	
Summary of Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in WI-38 Cells	20	
Mouse Dominant Lethal Assay		
Fertility Index	27	
Average Number of Implantations Per Pregnant Female	28	
Average Resorptions (Dead Implants) Per Pregnant Female	29	
Proportion of Females with One or More Dead Implantations	30	
Proportion of Females with Two or More Dead Implantations	31	
Dead Implants/Total Implants	32	
Rat Dominant Lethal Assay		
Fertility Index	37	
Average Number of Implantations Per Pregnant Female	38	
Average Corpora Lutea Per Pregnant Female	39	
Average Preimplantation Losses Per Pregnant Female	40	
Average Resorptions (Dead Implants) Per Pregnant Female	41	
Proportion of Females with One or More Dead Implantations	42	
Proportion of Females with Two or More Dead Implantations	43	
Dead Implants/Total Implants	44	

GENERAL INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

The material evaluated in this study was subjected to a matrix of in vitro assays employing microbial cells, mammalian cells in culture and in $\overline{\text{vivo}}$ tests measuring potential germ cell effects in mice and rats.

This battery of tests is capable of detecting specific locus gene mutations, nonspecific DNA damage and chromosome aberration (as indicated by dominant lethality). The dosing regimens included acute and subchronic exposures and the <u>in vivo</u> nature of some of the tests permits parameters of pharmacodynamics to be considered.

The analysis of the data is made on a matrix consideration using the entire spectra of responses to formulate the evaluation. A single set of data might indicate activity but the significance of the results will be interpreted as part of the total matrix. If all other data are negative the impact of the positive response will be reduced.

Conversely, if all tests show positive effects, the application of this broad-based response to estimation of potential human risk may be made with greater confidence.

The interpretations of data outlined in this section are based primarily on criteria developed for each assay system. The criteria are described in the experimental sections of this report.

Genetic activity is a property of chemicals which in most cases also indicates carcinogenic activity. Genetic activity cannot be used as a definitive assessment of carcinogenic risk for mammals but can be used to identify chemicals with a high probability of having carcinogenic activity.

INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Microbial Assav

JP-4 H-Farm B-42 was not mutagenic for <u>Salmonella</u> in the Ames-type assay. The chemical was toxic to most of the bacteria strains at concentrations above $1 \mu l$ per plate.

Mouse Lymphoma Assay

This assay was negative and JP-4 H-Farm B-42 was considered nonmutagenic for mammalian cells which detect gene mutation. The material was relatively toxic for animal cells.

Unscheduled DNA Synthesis Assay

JP-4 H-Farm B-42 produced significant unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) in WI-38 cells in both nonactivation (-S9 mix) and activation (+S9 mix) test conditions. The results were generally dose related. The data suggest that the test material induces DNA lesions which are readily repairable in those cells.

Dominant Lethal Assays

JP-4 H-Farm B-42 could be considered to have a high degree of toxicity for rats and mice. The dose levels employed in mice were 0.01 ml/kg, 0.03 ml/kg and 0.09 ml/kg per day for 5 days. In rats, the dose levels employed were 0.09 ml/kg, 0.3 ml/kg and 0.9 ml/kg per day for 5 days. The chemical did not appear to affect fertility in either species.

Mouse--Mouse test results for JP-4 H-Farm B-42 were negative.

Rat--Rat test results for JP-4 H-Farm B-42 were negative overall but evidence for preimplantation loss was observed at mating 4. This was not confirmed in the mouse because preimplantation loss is measured only in the rat.

CONCLUSIONS

JP-4 H-Farm B-42 was shown to induce nonspecific DNA damage in WI-38 cells and possibly preimplantation loss in rats. All other data from the test battery were negative. These results are not strong indications of human risk and could be considered as representing minimal genetic toxicity. Neither UDS or preimplantation loss are conclusive indicators of mutation. Their implications for potential carcinogenesis must also be considered weak in light of the majority of negative test data.

PART I

MICROBIAL ASSAY

EVALUATION SUMMARY

The test material, JP-4 H-Farm B-42, was evaluated in the <u>Salmonella</u> assay over a series of concentrations ranging from 0.001 μ l to 5.0 μ l/plate. The concentration range included both toxic and nontoxic levels. No mutagenic activity was observed in this evaluation.

FINAL REPORT

MUTAGENICITY PLATE ASSAY

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate the test compound, JP-4 H-Farm B-42, for genetic activity in microbial assays with and without the addition of mammalian metabolic activation preparations.

MATERIALS

Test Compound

The test compound was received on June 15, 1977. The compound was a colorless liquid.

Indicator Microorganisms

The indicator organisms used were:

Salmonella typhimurium strains

TA-1535 TA-98 TA-1537 TA-100 TA-1538

· <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u> strain

D4

Activation System*

The reaction mixture used for this test was composed of the following:

Component	Final concentration/ml
TPN Glucose-6-phosphate Sodium phosphate (dibasic) MgCl ₂ KCl Homogenate fraction	4 μmoles 5 μmoles 100 μmoles 8 μmoles 33 μmoles 0.1-0.15 ml 9,000 x g supernatant of rat liver

The 9,000 \times g supernatant was prepared from Sprague-Dawley adult male rat liver induced by Aroclor 1254 5 days prior to kill.

^{*}Ames et al., Mutation Research, 31:347, 1975.

Positive Control Chemicals

The following table lists the chemicals used for positive controls in the nonactivation and activation assays.

Assay	Chemical ^a	Solvent	Probable mutagenic specificity
Nonactivation	Methylnitrosoguanidine (MNNG)	Water or saline	BPS ^b
	2-Nitrofluorene (NF)	${\tt Dimethylsulfoxide}^{\sf C}$	FS ^b
	Quinacrine mustard (QM)	Water or saline	FS ^b
Activation	2-Anthramine (ANTH)	Dimethylsulfoxide ^C	\mathtt{BPS}^b
	2-Acetylaminofluorene (AAF)	Dimethylsulfoxide ^C	FS ^b
	8-Aminoquinoline (AMQ)	Dimethylsulfoxide ^C	FS ^b

^aConcentrations given in Results section.

Solvent

Either deionized water or dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was used to prepare stock solutions of solid materials. All dilutions of test materials were made in either deionized water or DMSO. The solvent employed and its concentration are recorded in the Results section.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Plate Test (Overlay Method*)

Approximately 10⁸ cells from an overnight culture of each indicator strain were added to separate test tubes containing 2.0 ml of molten agar supplemented with biotin and a trace of histidine. For nonactivation tests, at least four dose levels of the test compound were added to the contents of the appropriate tubes and poured over the surfaces of selective agar plates. In activation tests, a minimum of four different concentrations of the test chemical was added to the appropriate tubes with cells. Just prior to

^bBPS = base-pair substitution. FS = frameshift.

^CPreviously shown to be nonmutagenic.

^{*}Certain classes of chemicals known to be mutagens and carcinogens do not produce detectable responses using the standard Ames overlay method. Some dialkyl nitrosamines and certain substituted hydrazines are mutagenic in suspension assays but not in the plate assay. Chemicals of these classes should be screened in a suspension assay.

pouring, an aliquot of reaction mixture (0.5 ml containing the $9,000 \times g$ liver homogenate) was added to each of the activation overlay tubes which were then mixed and the contents poured over the surface of a minimal agar plate and allowed to solidify. The plates were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C and scored for the number of colonies growing on each plate. The concentrations of all chemicals are given in the Results section. Positive and solvent controls using both directly active positive chemicals and those that require metabolic activation were run with each assay.

Recording and Presenting Data

The numbers of colonies on each plate were counted and recorded on printed forms. These raw data were analyzed in a computer program and reported on a printout. The results are presented as revertants per plate for each indicator strain employed in the assay. The positive and the solvent controls are provided as reference points. Other relevant data are provided on the computer printout.

EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR PLATE ASSAYS

Plate test data consist of direct revertant colony counts obtained from a set of selective agar plates seeded with populations of mutant cells suspended in a semisolid overlay. Because the test chemical and the cells are incubated in the overlay for 2 to 3 days and a few cell divisions occur during the incubation period, the test is semiquantitative in nature. Although these features of the assay reduce the quantitation of results, they provide certain advantages not contained in a quantitative suspension test:

- The small number of cell divisions permits potential mutagens to act on replicating DNA, which is often more sensitive than nonreplicating DNA.
- The combined incubation of the compound and the cells in the overlay permits constant exposure of the indicator cells for 2 to 3 days.

Surviving Populations

Plate test procedures do not permit exact quantitation of the number of cells surviving chemical treatment. At low concentrations of the test chemical the surviving population on the treatment plates is essentially the same as that on the negative control plate. At high concentrations the surviving population is usually reduced by some fraction. Our protocol normally employs several doses ranging over two or three log concentrations, the highest of these doses being selected to show slight toxicity as determined by subjective criteria.

Dose Response Phenomena

The demonstration of dose-related increases in mutant counts is an important criterion in establishing mutagenicity. A factor that might modify dose-response results for a mutagen would be selecting doses that are too low (usually mutagenicity and toxicity are related). If the highest dose is far lower than a toxic concentration, no increases may be observed over the dose

SUMMARY OF PLATE TEST RESULTS

NAME OR CODE DESIGNATION OF THE TEST COMPOUND: JP-4 H-FARM B-42 SOLVENT: DMSO TEST INITIATION DATE: JULY 5, 1977 CONCENTRATIONS ARE GIVEN IN MICROLITERS (UL) OR MICRÒGRAMS (UG) PER PLATE.

A.B. C.

e constant			- 1	BEVEBIANI	ANIS	LS PER PL	A.I.E	
1531	SECTES	TISSUE	_IA=1535	IA-1537	IA=1538	IA=98	IA=100	9 4 Q
NONACIIVALION			~	7	-	2 1 2	1 2	1 2
SOLVENT CONTROL	i	į	11	6	7	22	901	0
POSITIVE CONTROL **	:	•	550	749	917	972	>1000	721
00.0	•	1	•	ď	ć	į	•	1
20 0010 °C			- 6	• :	•	\$ 1	0+1	163
	l i		y (3	21	27	1+1	749
70 0001+0	•	!	9	•	_	17	142	153
10 00000 I	•	!	m	18	-	16	120	163
2*00000 NF	•	:	-	13	•	æ	63	103
ACIIVAIION								
SOLVENT CONTROL	RAT	LIVER	9	o	cc	ć	9	
POSITIVE CONTROL*** TEST COMPOUND	RAT	LIVER	244	194	1000	00014	935	224
100	RAT	LIVER	11	13	13	33	168	25
0.01000	RAT	LIVER	15	•	22	11	178	
7 00001.0 7	RAT	LIVER	ø	70	18		158	124
1.00000 UL	RAT	LIVER	۰	S	21	16	151	118
2.00000 UL	RAT	LIVER	ĸ	*	13	7	136	100

^{*} IBY + CONVERTANTS PER PLATE

*** TA-1	[-V]	TA-1	14-9	TA-1(40	SOLVE
/PLATE	/PLATE	/PLATE	/PLATE	PLATE	/PLATE	UL/PLATE
10 06			160 UG			
			¥			
** TA-1535	TA-1537	TA-1538	TA-98	TA-100	*	SOLVENT

100 UG/PLATE 100 UG/PLATE 100 UG/PLATE 100 UG/PLATE 100 MCROMOLES/PLATE 50 UL/PLATE ANTH AAF AAF ANTH DMNA 1535 1537 1538 1538 100

range selected. Conversely, if the lowest dose employed is highly cytotoxic, the test chemical may kill any mutants that are induced and the compound will not appear to be mutagenic.

Control Tests

Positive and negative control assays are conducted with each experiment and consist of direct-acting mutagens for nonactivation assays and mutagens that require metabolic biotransformation in activation assays. Negative controls consist of the test compound solvent in the overlay agar together with the other essential components. The negative control plate for each strain gives a reference point to which the test data are compared. The positive control assay is conducted to demonstrate that the test systems are functional with known mutagens.

Evaluation Criteria for Ames Assay

Because the procedures used to evaluate the mutagenicity of the test chemical are semiquantitative, the criteria used to determine positive effects are inherently subjective and are based primarily on a historical data base. Most data sets are evaluated using the following criteria.

Strains TA-1535, TA-1537 and TA-1538--If the solvent control value is within the normal range, a chemical that produces a positive dose response over three concentrations with the lowest increase equal to twice the solvent control value is considered to be mutagenic.

Strains TA-98, TA-100 and D4--If the solvent control value is within the normal range, a chemical that produces a positive dose response over three concentrations with the highest increase equal to twice the solvent control value for TA-100 and two to three times the solvent control value for TA-98 and D4 is considered to be mutagenic. For these strains the dose response increase should start at approximately the solvent control value.

Pattern--Because TA-1535 and TA-100 were both derived from the same parental strain (G-46) and because TA-1538 and TA-98 were both derived from the same parental strain (D3052), there is a built-in redundancy in the microbial assay. In general the two strains of a set respond to the same mutagen and such a pattern is sought. It is also anticipated that if a given strain, e.g., TA-1537, responds to a mutagen in nonactivation tests it will generally do so in activation tests. (The converse of this relationship is not expected.) While similar response patterns are not required for all mutagens, they can be used to enhance the reliability of an evaluation decision.

Reproducibility--If a chemical produces a response in a single test that cannot be reproduced in one or more additional runs, the initial positive test data lose significance.

The preceding criteria are not absolute and other extenuating factors may enter into a final evaluation decision. However, these criteria are applied to the majority of situations and are presented to aid those individuals not familiar with this procedure. As the data base is increased the criteria for evaluation can be more firmly established.

Relationship Between Mutagenicity and Carcinogenicity

It must be emphasized that the Ames <u>Salmonella/microsome</u> test is not a definitive test for chemical carcinogens. It is recognized, however, that correlative and functional relationships have been demonstrated between these two endpoints. The results of comparative tests of 300 chemicals by McCann et al.* show an extremely good correlation between results of microbial mutagenesis tests and <u>in vivo</u> rodent carcinogenesis assays.

All evaluation and interpretation of the data presented in this report are based only on the demonstration of or lack of mutagenic activity.

^{*}McCann et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA, 72:5135-5139, 1975.

PART II

MOUSE LYMPHOMA ASSAY

EVALUATION SUMMARY

 ${\sf JP-4}$ H-Farm B-42 was not mutagenic in this evaluation. All test data were within the range of spontaneous mutation.

FINAL REPORT

L5178Y MOUSE LYMPHOMA MUTAGENICITY ASSAY

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate JP-4 H-Farm B-42 for specific locus forward mutation induction in the L5178Y thymidine kinase (TK) mouse lymphoma cell assay.

MATERIALS

Test Compound

The test compound was received on June 15, 1977. The compound was a colorless liquid.

Indicator Cells

The Fischer mouse lymphoma cell line used in this study was derived from L5178Y. The cells are heterozygous for a specific autosomal mutation at the TK locus and are bromodeoxyuridine (BUdR) sensitive. Scoring for mutation was based on selecting cells that have undergone forward mutation from a TK+/- to a TK-/- genotype by cloning them in soft agar with BUdR.

Media

The cells were maintained in Fischer's Medium for Leukemic Cells of Mice with 10% horse serum and sodium pyruvate. Cloning medium consisted of Fischer's Medium with 20% horse serum, sodium pyruvate and 0.37% agar. Selection medium was made from cloning medium by the addition of 5.0 mg of BUdR to 100 ml of cloning medium.

Control Compounds

<u>Negative Control</u>—The solvent in which the test compound was dissolved was used as a negative control and is designated as solvent control in the data table. The actual solvent is listed in the Results section.

Positive Controls--Ethylmethanesulfonate (EMS), which induces mutation by base-pair substitution, was dissolved in culture medium and used as a positive control for the nonactivation studies at a final concentration of 0.5 µl/ml.

Dimethylnitrosamine (DMN), which requires metabolic biotransformation by microsomal enzymes, was used as a positive control substance for the activation studies at a final concentration of 0.5 μ l/ml.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Toxicity

The solubility, toxicity and doses for all chemicals were determined prior to screening. The effect of each chemical on the survival of the indicator cells

was determined by exposing the cells to a wide range of chemical concentrations in complete growth medium. Toxicity was measured as loss in growth potential of the cells induced by a 4-hour exposure to the chemical followed by a 24-hour expression period in growth medium. A minimum of four doses was selected from the range of concentrations by using the highest dose that showed no loss in growth potential as the penultimate dose and by bracketing this with one higher dose and at least two lower doses. Toxicity produced by chemical treatment was monitored during the experiment.

Assays

Nonactivation Assay--The procedure used is a modification of that reported by Clive and Spector.* Prior to each treatment, cells were cleansed of spontaneous TK-/- by growing them in a medium containing thymidine, hypoxanthine, methotrexate and glycine (THMG). This medium permits the survival of only those cells that produce the enzyme thymidine kinase and can therefore utilize the exogenous thymidine from the medium. The test compound was added to the cleansed cells in growth medium at the predetermined doses for 4 hours. The mutagenized cells were washed, fed and allowed to express in growth medium for 3 days. At the end of this expression period, TK-/- mutants were detected by cloning the cells in the selection medium for 10 days. Surviving cell populations were determined by plating diluted aliquots in nonselective growth medium.

Activation Assay—The activation assay differs from the nonactivation assay in the following manner only. Two milliliters of the reaction mixture were added to 10 ml of growth medium. The desired number of cleansed cells was added to this mixture and the flask was incubated on a rotary shaker for 4 hours. The incubation period was terminated by washing the cells twice with growth medium. The washed mutagenized cells were then allowed to express for 3 days and were cloned as indicated for the nonactivated cells.

Preparation of 9,000 x g Supernatant

Male random bred mice were killed by cranial blow, decapitated and bled. The liver was immediately dissected from the animal using aseptic technique and placed in ice-cold 0.25M sucrose buffered with Tris buffer at a pH of 7.4. When an adequate number of livers had been collected they were washed twice with fresh buffered sucrose and completely homogenized. The homogenate was centrifuged for 20 minutes at $9,000 \times g$ in a refrigerated centrifuge. The supernatant from this centrifuged sample was retained and frozen at -80° C until used in the activation system. This microsome preparation was added to a "core" reaction mixture to form the activation system described below:

Component	Final concentration/ml
TPN (sodium salt)	6 µmoles
Isocitric acid	35 µmoles
Tris buffer, pH 7.4	28 µmoles
MgCl ₂	2 µmoles
Homogenate fraction	100 µliters

^{*}Clive and Spector, Mutation Research, 31:17-29, 1975.

Screening

A mutation index was derived by dividing the number of clones formed in the BUdR-containing selection medium by the number found in the same medium without BUdR. The ratio was then compared to that obtained from other dose levels and from positive and negative controls. Colonies were counted on an electronic colony counter that resolves all colonies greater than 200 microns in diameter.

RESULTS

The data presented in the following table show the concentrations of the test compound employed, the number of mutant clones obtained, the surviving populations after the expression period and the calculated mutation frequencies.

CRITERIA USED IN THE EVALUATION

Several criteria have been established which, if met, provide a basis for declaring a material genetically active in the mouse lymphoma assay. These criteria are derived from a historical data base and are helpful in maintaining uniformity in evaluations from material to material and run to run. While these criteria are reasonably objective, a certain amount of flexibility may be required in making the final evaluation since absolute criteria may not be applicable to all biological data.

A compound is considered mutagenic in the mouse lymphoma assay if:

- A dose response relationship is observed over three of the four dose levels employed.
- The minimum increase at the high level of the dose response curve is at least 2.5 times greater than the solvent control value.
- The solvent control data are within the normal range of the spontaneous background for the TK locus.

All evaluations of mutagenic activity are based upon the concurrent solvent control value run with the experiment in question. Positive control values are not used as reference points but are included to ensure the current cell population responds to direct and promutagens under the appropriate treatment conditions.

Occasionally a single point within a concentration range will show an increase 2.5 times greater than the spontaneous background. If the increase is at the high dose, is reproducible and if an additional higher dose level is not feasible because of toxicity, the chemical can be considered mutagenic. If the increase is internal within the dose range and is not reproducible, the increase will normally be considered aberrant. If the internal increase is reproducible, several doses clustered around the positive concentration will be examined to either confirm or reject the reliability of the effect.

As the data base on the assay increases, the evaluation criteria can be expected to become more firmly established.

SUMMARY OF MOUSE LYMPHOMA (L5178Y) RESULTS

NAME OR CODE DESIGNATION OF THE TEST COMPOUND: UP-9
SOLVENT: DMSO
TEST DATE: 10/16/77
: CONCENTRATIONS ARE GIVEN IN MICROLITERS (UL) OR MICROGRAMS (UG) OR NANOLITERS (NL) PER MILLILITER.
RELATIVE
SUSPENSION TOTAL TOTAL CLONING
SUSPENSION TOTAL TOTAL CLONING

	TEST NONACTIVATION	SOURCE	S-9 SOURCE IISSUE -1G	DA11 -15ELESZ 1	DAILY COUNTS ICELLS/ML_8_10E51 1	'S ES1 3	SUSPENSION GROWTH (% QE_CONIBOL)	TOTAL MUTANT GLONES	TOTAL VIABLE GLQNES	CLONING EFFICIENCY (\$_0E_CQNIBQL)	PERCENT RELATIVE GBQMIH#_	MUTANT FREQUENCY** X-10*E=\$1.
	SOLVENT CONTROL	;	ł	25.9	9.5	11.7	100.0	u u	282			•
	NEGATIVF CONTROL	:	!	26.0	14.2	, C	9.80		310.0	1.001	0.001	8961.0
	FMS .SUL/ML	1 1	!	13.0	13.2	(C)	6.14	0 4 0	0410	113.1	9.00	0.1348
	TEST COMPOUND			•		•		•	0 • 2	6.06	¢.	3.1618
	0.00500 UL/ML	1	!	25.2	12.6	10.6	120.7	45.0	258.0	4.10	7.01	1174
	0.01000 UL/ML	!	† †	22.2	8.8	12.0	84.1		3010	7 70		*****
	0.02000 UL/ML	!	;	7.16	8 0	11.6	04		2 7 7 6		C • 6 •	****
	1M/ 111 00040 0	i	1				1016	0.67	200	87.2	84.7	0.3049
	July 10 00000	•	!	0.47	7.0	+ · 0 I	130.3	43.0	234.0	83.0	108.1	0.1838
1	0.08000 UL/ML	:	ŀ	ر. د	6.8	8.0	16.0	46.0	254.0	90.1	14.4	0.1811
5												
	ACTIVATION											
	SOLVENT CONTROL	MOUSE	LIVER	22.0	12.3	10.2	100.0	54.0	225.5	0.001	0.00	2010
	NEGATIVE CONTROL	MOUSE	LIVER	25.2	9.6	11.0	84.0	81.0	280.0	124.2		2003
	DMN .5UL/ML TEST COMPOSINO	MOUSE	LIVER	12.4	A.2	7.8	28.7	393.0	152.0	67.4	19.4	2.5855
	0.04000 UL/ML	MOUSE	LIVER	17.2	12.2	0.01	76.0	0 1 0	000	6 761	i	
	0.08000 UL/ML	MOUSE	ITVER	9.15	12.0	7.8	0		0000	20.421	***	1018.0
	W/ 11 00071 0	HOICE	17050	7			N - 0 - 1	0.00	200	133.4	0.66	0.2152
	1. /1/2 0:00 / C	1000	2011	0.0	7.4.	2.	0.07	43.0	306.0	135.7	95.0	0.1405
	0.15000 UL/FL	#005E	LIVER	9.6	10.0	14.6	50.8	16.0	308.0	136.6	4.69	0.2468
	JW/70 000***	MOUSE	LIVER	16.8	11.6	10.8	76.3	64.0	256.0	113.5	96.6	0.2500

* (RELATIVE SUSPENSION GROWTH X RELATIVE CLONING EFFICIENCY) / 100 ** (MUTANT CLONES / VIABLE CLONES) X 10.E-4

PART III

UNSCHEDULED DNA SYNTHESIS IN WI-38 CELLS

EVALUATION SUMMARY

The test material, JP-4 H-Farm B-42, produced positive results in this assay. The incorporation of ³H-thymidine following exposure to three concentrations of the material increased and the increase was dose dependent. The results from tests with S9 were of greater magnitude than without S9.

The results indicate that JP-4 H-Farm B-42 is capable of altering DNA and forming repairable lesions. These lesions are not necessarily mutagenic in nature.

FINAL REPORT

UNSCHEDULED DNA SYNTHESIS IN WI-38 CELLS

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate the test chemical, JP-4 H-Farm B-42, for its ability to induce unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) in human diploid WI-38 cells blocked in G_1 phase.

MATERIALS

Test Compound

The test compound was received on June 15, 1977. The compound was a colorless liquid.

Indicator Cells

Diploid WI-38 cells derived from human embryonic lung were used in this assay.

Media

Growth medium (GM) consisted of Eagle's minimal essential medium (EMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and penicillin-streptomycin (PS).

Maintenance medium (SM) consisted of EMEM supplemented with 0.5% FCS and PS.

Hydroxyurea medium (HUM) consisted of SM plus hydroxyurea to a final concentration of $10^{-2}\mathrm{M}$.

Control Compounds

<u>Negative Control</u>—The material used as the solvent for the test chemical was used as the negative control. The solvent is listed in the Results section. The volume of solvent in the negative control test will equal the total solvent added in the high dose for the test chemical.

Positive Controls--N-methylnitrosoguanidine (MNNG) at a concentration of $10~\mu g/ml$ was used as the positive control agent in nonactivation tests. The positive control agent in activation tests was 3,4-benzo(α)pyrene (B α P) at a concentration of $10~\mu g/ml$.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Cell Preparation

Normal human diploid WI-38 cells were seeded at 2.5×10^5 cells in a 60 mm tissue culture dish and grown to confluency in GM. Once reaching confluency the cells were switched to SM for 5 days. The contact inhibition imposed by confluency and the use of SM held the cells in a nonproliferating state.

Treatment

On the day of treatment, cells held in G_1 phase were placed in HUM. After 30 minutes this medium was replaced by 2 ml of HUM containing the control or test chemical and 1.0 μ Ci of 3H -TdR. Each treatment was at three concentrations. Exposure was terminated after 1.5 hours by washing the cells twice in cold balanced salt solution (BSS) containing an excess of cold thymidine. The test concentrations were selected from a large series of trial concentrations and covered toxic and nontoxic dose ranges.

DNA Extraction and Measurement of ³H-TdR Incorporation

Treated plates were frozen at -20°C until processed. After thawing, the cells on the 60-mm plate were covered with 2.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in 1 x (SSC) (0.15M NaCl - 0.015M Na citrate) and scraped from the dish with a rubber policeman. The cells were washed and precipitated from the SDS by three changes of 95% ethanol and centrifuged at 10,000 x g. Additional lipid components were removed by extraction in ethanol ether at 70°C. This pellet was washed in 70% ethanol, further incubated at 70°C in 0.3N NaOH and the DNA extracted in 50 μ l 1N perchloric acid (PCA) at 70°C. The DNA was separated into two 25 μ l aliquots. One of these was dissolved in 10 ml of hydromix scintillation cocktail (Yorktown Company) and counted in a Beckman liquid scintillation spectrometer. The second aliquot was added to 275 μ l of 1N PCA and read at 260 nm in a Gilford spectrophotometer. The values were corrected for light scatter and converted to micrograms of DNA. Following liquid scintillation counting the data were combined with the DNA extraction values and expressed as disintegrations per minute (DPM) per microgram of DNA (DPM/ μ g DNA).

Activation System

Because metabolic activation is essential for the expression of biological activity in some chemicals, a mouse liver activation system containing liver S9 was employed. The activation system consisted of the following:

Component	Final concentration/ml
TPN (sodium salt)	6 µmoles
Isocitric acid	35 µmoles
Tris buffer, pH 7.4	28 µmoles
MgCl ₂	2 µmoles
Homogenate fraction	100 µliters

RESULTS

The results of the UDS assay in WI-38 cells are shown in the following table.

SUMMARY OF UNSCHEDULED DNA SYNTHESIS IN WI-38 CELLS

Test Compound: JP-4 H-Farm B-42 Solvent: H₂O

Date of Test Initiation:

November 30, 1977

Assay No. 1923

Percent Compound DPM/ of Test concentration 0.D.260 DNA µg CPM DPM µg DNA control Nonactivation Solvent control H_2O 1.250 41.0 713 3100.0 75.6 100.0 MNNG 10 µg/ml 0.910 30.0 873 4157.0 139.2 184.0 Test compound, $0.1 \, \mu l/ml$ JP-4 $0.5 \, \mu l/ml$ 1.050 34.7 1105 5261.9 200.5 151.6 $1.0 \mu l/ml$ 1.200 39.6 1326 6630.0 167.4 221.4 $5.0 \, \mu 1/m1$ 0.910 30.0 1450 6590.9 219.7 290.1 Activation Solvent control H_2O 1.700 56.0 529 2116.0 37.9 100.0 Losta Benzo(α)pyrene Test compound, $0.1 \, \mu l/ml$ 0.860 28.4 1142 5438.1 191.5 505.3 JP-4 $0.5 \, \mu 1/m1$ 0.970 27.4 1425 6785.7 653.6 247.7 1.0 µl/ml 0.830 32.7 655.1 1786 8118.2 248.3 $5.0 \, \mu 1/m1$ 0.850 28.1 1587 7557.1 268.9 709.4

CRITERIA USED IN THE EVALUATION

Several criteria have been established which, if met, provide a basis for declaring a material genetically active in the UDS assay. These criteria are derived from an historical data base and are helpful in maintaining uniformity in evaluations from material to material and run to run. While these criteria are reasonably objective, a certain amount of flexibility may be required in making the final evaluations since absolute criteria may not be applicable to all biological data.

^aTubes broken in centrifuge.

A compound is considered active in the UDS assay if:

- A dose-response relationship is observed over two of the three dose levels employed.
- The minimum increase at the high level of the dose response is at least two times greater than the solvent control value (i.e., at least 200% of control).

All evaluations of UDS activity are based on the concurrent solvent control value run with the experiment in question. Positive control values are not used as reference points to measure activity but rather to demonstrate that the cell population employed was responsive to chemicals known to induce repair synthesis under the appropriate test conditions.

As the data base for the UDS assay increases, the evaluation criteria will become more firmly established.

PART IV-A

MOUSE DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY

EVALUATION SUMMARY

JP-4 H-Farm B-42 was tested at 0.01 ml/kg, 0.03 ml/kg and 0.09 ml/kg in this evaluation. The test results were negative. No significant dominant lethality was observed in any of the parameters measured in this evaluation.

FINAL REPORT

MUTAGENICITY EVALUATION OF MOUSE DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate JP-4 H-Farm B-42 for its ability to induce dominant lethality in mice.

MATERIALS

The test compound was received June 15, 1977. The compound was a colorless liquid.

OVERVIEW AND RATIONALE

The dominant lethal assay is designed to determine the ability of a compound to induce genetic damage in the germ cells of treated male mice leading to fetal wastage. Chromosome aberrations including breaks, rearrangements and deletions are believed to produce the dominant lethality although ploidy changes and chromosome nondisjunction may also be detected in this assay. Male mice are exposed to several dose levels of the test compound for 5 days and then mated over the entire period of spermatogenesis to unexposed virgin females. At midpregnancy the females are killed and scored for the number of living and dead implants as well as the level of fertility. These results are then compared to data from control animals and used to determine the degree of induced dominant lethality.

Evidence of dominant lethality emphasizes that the compound was able to reach the developing germ cells and induce genetic damage. It also suggests, but does not measure directly, that in addition to the detected gross chromosomal lesions more subtle balanced lesions or specific locus gene mutations may be produced. These latter types have a good chance of being transmitted to the gene pool of future offspring.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Ten random bred male mice from a closed colony were assigned to one of five groups. Three of these groups received different dose levels of the test compound, a fourth group received only the solvent and the fifth group received a known mutagen and served as the positive control group. The test compound and the solvent control were administered in the feed for 5 consecutive days. Triethylene melamine (TEM) was used as the positive control and was given as a single intraperitoneal injection 2 days before the animals were mated. Following treatment each male was rested for 2 days and then caged with two unexposed virgin females. At the end of 7 days these females were replaced with two new unexposed females. This weekly mating sequence was continued for 7 weeks. The mated females were transferred to a new cage and 14 days after the midweek of being caged with the male the females were killed with CO_2 . At necropsy their uteri were examined for dead and living fetuses, resorption sites and total implantations.

Animals

Random bred male and female mice, strain CD-1, were purchased from The Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, Michigan). Male and female mice were at least 8 weeks of age when purchased.

Animal Husbandry

Males were housed individually and females housed in pairs (except during mating) in shoe box cages on AB-SORB-DRI bedding.

All animals were quarantined for 2 weeks prior to being used in the study to acclimate them to the new laboratory conditions. Purina Lab Chow was used as the basic diet and water was offered ad libitum. Light was provided on a 12-hour light/dark cycle.

Personnel handling animals or working within the animal facility wore suitable protective laboratory garments including face masks or respirators.

Records

The number of dead and living implants and total implantation sites were recorded on a standardized record form. Data were keypunched directly from these forms onto computer entry cards and analyzed for statistical significance as outlined in the Appendix.

Compound Administration

Preliminary dose range experiments indicated a low toxicity. Doses were chosen to be 0.09 ml/kg, 0.03 ml/kg and 0.01 ml/kg. The route of administration was intraperitoneal and the vehicle was DMSO. The negative control animals received 0.1 ml/mouse of DMSO intraperitoneally. This volume was equal to the largest volume received by the test animals. The positive control animals were dosed acutely with 0.3 mg/kg of TEM intraperitoneally.

Male numbers	Treatment	Dose, m1/kg	Route	Total vol. admin., ml/mouse/day	
91-100	JP-4	0.09	IP	0.03	
101-110	JP-4	0.03	ΙP	0.1	
111-120	JP-4	0.01	IP	0.04	
131-140	(NC) DMSO	0.1	IP	0.1	
141-150	(PC) TEM	0.3 mg/kg	IP	0.1	

IP = intraperitoneal

RESULTS

The results are presented in the following tables.

DOMINANT LETHALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Both pre- and postimplantation losses contribute to dominant lethality. The former is reflected in the total number of implantation sites per pregnant female and strictly measured by the difference between the number of corpora lutea gravidus and the number of implantation sites. Toxic or physiological effects on sperm may also reduce the number of implantation sites. Therefore, unless subtle physiological effects on sperm can be discounted, preimplantation loss is not as rigorous an indication of dominant lethality as postimplantation loss. Corpora lutea cannot be reliably counted in mice and, therefore, preimplantation loss is not evaluated in studies using mice. Postimplantation losses are measured as early and late fetal deaths plus the number of resorption sites.

Dominant lethality is typically determined from: 1) A mutation index derived from the ratio of dead to total implants; or 2) the number of dead implants per pregnant female. In interpreting these values it must be remembered that the former measurement reflects both pre- and postimplantation losses and that the ratio is affected by changes in either the numerator or the denominator. For this reason the second parameter is perhaps a better indicator of postimplantation loss. This becomes especially so if one concurrently examines the number of living embryos per pregnant female. The two sets of data should be inversely related. In other words if true dominant lethality is being observed then a significant increase in the number of dead implants per pregnant female should be accompanied by a significant decrease in the number of living implants per pregnant female.

These ratios are compared with both concurrent and historical control data for significant statistical differences. Dose-related trends are also looked for but may not always be found. For example, some compounds such as EMS tested in mice show a threshold value and then a very steep rise. Certain portions of the response might be missed depending upon the spacing of the dose levels used.

True as opposed to spurious dominant lethality also tends to cluster according to the stage of spermatogenesis affected and typically would not be expected to appear in widely spaced weeks or blocks of weeks.

All data which are indicated as being statistically significant must also be strongly evaluated for their biological significance. By bringing both statistical and biological selective pressures to bear upon the data gathered, an estimate of dominant lethality and of risk to the gene pool should be obtainable.

FERTILITY INDEX

	ARITH DOSE	# 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		·				
	LUG 00SF	 		,				
SPECIES: MICE	0.0900 CC/KG	16/ 20 = 0.80	15/ 20 = 0.75	12/ 20 = 0.60	17/ 20 = 0.85	15/20 = 0.75	16/20 = 0.80	13/ 20 = 0.65
	0.0300 CC/KG	14/ 20 = 0.70	15/ 20 = 0.75	13/ 20 = 0.65	13/ 20 * 0.65	14/ 20 = 0.70	15/ 20 = 0.75	12/ 20 = 0.60
STUDY: SUBCHRONIC	0.0100 CC/KG	17/ 20 = 0.85	16/ 20 = 0.80	16/ 20 = 0.80	19/ 20 = 0.95* 13/ 20 = 0.65	19/20 = 0.95 * 14/20 = 0.70	17/20 = 0.85 $15/20 = 0.75$	13/ 20 = 0.65 13/ 20 = 0.65 12/ 20 = 0.60 13/ 20 = 0.65
	POS. CONFROL	17/ 20 = 0.85	17/ 20 = 0.85	15/ 20 = 0.75	13/ 20 = 0.65	15/ 20 = 0.75	11/20 = 0.55	13/ 20 = 0.65
COMPOUND: JP4	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL	_	15/ 20 = 0.75	14/20 = 0.70	11/ 20 = 0.55	13/ 20 = 0.65	17/ 20 * 0.85	15/ 20 = 0.75
	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT.		2	æ	4	r.	ø	•

NOTE: THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLOPE. OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERO.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPUUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

ONE + OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.05.
THO + OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.01.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARTH DOSE	1 1 1 1 1 1						
	LUG DUSE							
SPFCIES: MICE		181/ 16 = 11.31	178/ 15 = 11.87	146/ 12 = 12.17	176/ 17 = 10.35	183/ 15 = 12.20	184/ 16 * 11.50	138/ 13 = 10.62
		204/ 17 = 12.00 177/ 14 = 12.64 181/ 16 = 11.31	186/ 15 = 12.40 182/ 17 = 10.71 + 175/ 16 = 10.94 167/ 15 = 11.13 178/ 15 = 11.87	183/ 14 = 13.07 193/ 15 = 12.87 203/ 16 = 12.69 170/ 13 = 13.08 146/ 12 = 12.17	105/ 11 * 9.55 165/ 13 * 12.69* 205/ 19 * 10.79 139/ 13 = 10.69 176/ 17 = 10.35	157/ 13 = 12.08 174/ 15 = 11.60 238/ 19 = 12.53 166/ 14 = 11.86 183/ 15 = 12.20	135/ 11 = 12.27 214/ 17 = 12.59 162/ 15 = 10.80 184/ 16 = 11.50	172/ 15 = 11.47 126/ 13 = 9.69* 138/ 13 = 10.62 124/ 12 = 10.33 138/ 13 = 10.62
STUDY: SUBCHKUNIC	0.0100 CC/KG	204/ 17 = 12.00	175/ 16 = 10.94	203/ 16 = 12.69	205/ 19 = 10.79	238/ 19 = 12.53	214/ 17 = 12.59	138/ 13 = 10,62
	POS. CONTROL	162/ 13 = 12.46 191/ 17 = 11.24	182/ 17 = 10.71	193/ 15 = 12.87	165/ 13 = 12.69*	174/ 15 = 11.60	135/ 11 = 12.27	126/ 13 = 9.69
COMPOUND: JP4	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL	162/ 13 = 12.46	186/ 15 = 12.40	183/ 14 = 13.07	105/ 11 = 9,55	157/ 13 = 12.08	197/ 17 = 11.59	172/ 15 = 11.47
	WEEK		~	•	•	ĸ	٠	•

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE:

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE Of the regression line from Zero.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE In the arithmetic dose column also includes the negative control.

ONE * OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.05.
TWO * OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.01.

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	AKITH DOSE							
	tuc pose	1 1 1 1 1 1						
SPECIES: MICE	0.0900 CC/KG	95.0 ± 91 /6	5/ 15 = 0.33	9/ 12 = 0.75	10/17 = 0.59	8/ 15 = 0.53	10/ 16 = 0.63	3/ 13 = 0.23
	0.0300 CC/KG	7/ 14 = 0.50	9/ 15 ± 0.60	14/ 13 = 1.08	6/13 = 0.46	6/14 = 0.43	12/ 15 = 0.80	2/ 12 = 0.17
STUDY: SUBCHRUNIC	0.0100 CC/KG	10/ 17 = 0.59	16 = 0.31	7/ 15 = 1.13 24/ 16 = 1.50	6/19 = 0.32* $6/13 = 0.46$	16/ 19 = 0.84	18/ 17 = 1.06	9/ 13 * 0.69
	PUS. CONTROL		38/ 17 = 2.24** 5/ 16 = 0.31	17/ 15 = 1.13	8/ 13 = 0.62	15/ 15 = 1.00	14/ 11 = 1.27	6/ 13 = 0.46
COMPOUND: JP4	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL	5/ 13 * 0.38	11/ 15 = 0.73	9/ 14 = 0.64	14/ 11 = 1.27	6/ 13 = 0.46	13/ 17 = 0.76	7/ 15 = 0.47
	WEEK HIST.		7	•	4	ĸ	٠	1

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLOPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERO.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

ONE * OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.05.
TWO * OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.01.

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

	ARITH DOSE							
	106 00SE							
SPECIES: MICE	0.0900 CC/KG	4/ 16 = 0.25	3/ 15 = 0.20	6/ 12 = 0.50	1/ 11 = 0.41	0 + 0 = 51 / 9	8/ 16 = 0.50	3/ 13 = 0.23
SPECI	0.0300 CC/KG	1/ 14 = 0.50	04.0 = 51 /9	10/ 13 = 0.17	4/ 13 = 0.31	4/ 14 = 0.29	04.0 = 51 /9	1/ 12 = 0.08
HRONIC	0.0	1/ 14	91 /9	10/ 13	<i>47</i> 13	4/ 14	91 /9	1/ 12
STUDY: SUBCHRUNIC	0*0100 CC/KG	6/ 17 = 0.35	5/ 16 = 0.31	9/ 16 = 0.56	5/ 19 = 0.26	10/ 19 = 0.53	9/ 17 = 0.53	95.0 = £1 /9
	POS. CONFROL	11/ 17 = 0.65	14/ 17 = 0.82	07 = 51 /9	6/ 13 = 0.46	10/ 15 = 0.67	8/ 11 = 0.73	3/ 13 = 0.23
COMPOUND: JP4	NEG. CONTROL	4/ 13 = 0.31	1/ 15 = 0.47	6/ 14 = 0.43	6/ 11 = 0.55	6/ 13 = 0.46	10/ 17 * 0.59	04.0 = 51 /9
	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL							
	WEEK	-	~	æ	*	ıΩ	•	1

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CUNTROL. NOTE

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE Of the regression line from Zero.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

ONE * OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.05. INO * OR \$ INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE AT P LESS THAN 0.01.

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
	100 0056							
SPECIES: MICE	0.0900 CC/KG	2/ 16 = 0.13	1/ 15 = 0.07	1/ 12 = 0.08	3/ 17 = 0.18	2/ 15 = 0.13	2/ 16 = 0.13	0/ 13 = 0.0
	0.0300 CC/KG	0/14 = 0.0	2/ 15 = 0.13	3/ 13 = 0.23	1/ 13 = 0.08	2/ 14 = 0.14	3/ 15 = 0.20	1/ 12 = 0.08
STUDY: SUBL _{FI} KANIC	0.0100 CC/KG	2/ 17 = 0.12	0, 16 = 0.0	6/ 16 = 0.38	1/19 = 0.05	4/19 = 0.21	5/ 17 = 0.29	3/13 = 0.23
	POS. CONTROL	8/ 17 = 0.47	12/ 17 = 0.71*	5/ 15 = 0.33	2/13 = 0.15	4/15 = 0.27	4/ 11 = 0.36	2/ 13 = 0.15
COMPOUND: JP4		1/ 13 = 0.08	3/ 15 = 0.20	2/ 14 = 0.14	4/ 11 = 0.36	0, 13 = 0.0	3/ 17 = 0.18	1/ 15 = 0.07
(0)	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT.		2	3	•	r.	·c	1

NOTE: THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DUSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FRON ZERO.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

	ARTIN DUSE							
	106 DUSE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						•
SPECIES: MICE	0.0900 LC/KG	9/181 = 0.05	5/178 = 0.03	9/146 = 0.06	10/176 = 0.06	8/183 = 0.04	10/184 = 0.05	3/138 = 0.02
SPEC	CC/KG	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.04	10.0	0.02
HRONIC	0.0300 CC/KG	1/177 = 0.04	9/167 = 0.05	14/170 = 0.08	90°0 = 6€1/9	6/166 = 0.04	12/162 = 0.07	2/124 = 0.02
STUDY: SUBCHRONIC	0.0100 CC/KG	0.05	0.03	0.12	6/205 = 0.03**	10.0	90.0	0.07
STUDY	0.0100	0/204 = 0.05	* 511/	24/203 = 0.12	/205 =	16/238 = 0.07	18/214 = 0.08	9/138 = 0.07
		2	27	24	9	91	9	~
		0.17** 10	0.21** 5					
	POS. CONTROL	-	38/182 = 0.21** 5/175 = 0.03	17/193 = 0.09 24	8/165 = 0.05 6	15/174 = 0.09 16/	14/135 = 0.10 18	6/126 * 0.05
JP4	POS. CONTROL	33/191 = 0.17** 1	171	17/193 = 0.09	8/165 = 0.05	15/174 = 0.09	0.07 14/135 = 0.10	0.04 6/126 = 0.05
IMPOUND: JP4	POS. CONTROL	33/191 = 0.17** 1	11/186 = 0.06 38/182 = 0.21** 5				14/135 = 0.10	6/126 = 0.05
COMPOUND: JP4	POS. CONTROL	5/162 = 0.03 33/191 = 0.17** 1	171	17/193 = 0.09	8/165 = 0.05	15/174 = 0.09	0.07 14/135 = 0.10	0.04 6/126 = 0.05

NOTE: THE SYMBOL . DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITIMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERO.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE In the arithmetic dose column also includes the negative control.

PART IV-B

RAT DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY

EVALUATION SUMMARY

The test material, JP-4 H-Farm B-42, was tested at 0.09 ml/kg, 0.3 ml/kg and 0.9 ml/kg and was considered negative in this evaluation. However, some preimplantation loss was indicated in the fourth week of mating (page 40) and appeared dose related. The data for dominant lethality were also high at weeks 3, 4 and 5 but were not significant with respect to the concurrent control. The data at these mating weeks would be significant if compared to the historical negative control. The high concurrent negative control also reduced the significance of the positive control (TEM) effect. The TEM data were unusually low in this study. However, with the exception of significant preimplantation loss at week 4, no other significant effects were observed. Preimplantation effects without concommitant dominant lethal effects do not carry much weight in an evaluation.

FINAL REPORT

MUTAGENICITY EVALUATION OF RAT DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate JP-4 H-Farm B-42 for its ability to induce dominant lethality in rats.

MATERIALS

The test compound was received June 15, 1977. The compound was a colorless liquid.

OVERVIEW AND RATIONALE

The dominant lethal assay is designed to determine the ability of a compound to induce genetic damage in the germ cells of treated male rats leading to fetal wastage. Chromosome aberrations including breaks, rearrangements and deletions are believed to produce the dominant lethality although ploidy changes and chromosome nondisjunction may also be detected in this assay. Male rats are exposed to several dose levels of the test compound for 5 days and then mated over the entire period of spermatogenesis to unexposed virgin females. At midpregnancy the females are killed and scored for the number of living and dead implants as well as the level of fertility. These results are then compared to data from control animals and used to determine the degree of induced dominant lethality.

Evidence of dominant lethality emphasizes that the compound was able to reach the developing germ cells and induce genetic damage. It also suggests, but does not measure directly, that in addition to the detected gross chromosomal lesions more subtle balanced lesions or specific locus gene mutations may be produced. These latter types have a good chance of being transmitted to the gene pool of future offspring.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Ten random bred male rats from a closed colony were assigned to one of five groups. Three of these groups received different dose levels of the test compound, a fourth group received only the solvent and the fifth group received a known mutagen and served as the positive control group. The test compound and the solvent control were administered orally by gavage for 5 consecutive days. Triethylene melamine (TEM) was used as the positive control and was given as a single intraperitoneal injection 2 days before the animals were mated. Following treatment each male was rested for 2 days and then caged with two unexposed virgin females. At the end of 7 days these females were replaced with two new unexposed females. This weekly mating sequence was continued for 7 weeks. The mated females were transferred to a new cage and 14 days after the midweek of being caged with the male the females were killed with CO_2 . At necropsy their uteri were examined for dead and living fetuses, resorption sites and total implantations.

Animals

Random bred male and female rats, strain CRL:COBS CD(SD)Br, were purchased from The Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, Michigan). Male and female rats were at least 10 weeks of age when purchased.

Animal Husbandry

Males were housed individually and females housed in pairs (except during mating) in shoe box cages on AB-SORB-DRI bedding.

All animals were quarantined for 2 weeks prior to being used in the study to acclimate them to the new laboratory conditions. Purina Rat Chow was used as the basic diet and water was offered ad libitum. Light was provided on a 12-hour light/dark cycle.

Personnel handling animals or working within the animal facility wore suitable protective laboratory garments including face masks or respirators.

Records

The number of corpora lutea, dead and living fetuses, resorption sites and total implantation sites were recorded on a standardized record form. Data were keypunched directly from these forms onto computer entry cards and analyzed for statistical significance as outlined in the Appendix.

Compound Administration

Preliminary dose range experiments were performed to determine dosage levels. The vehicle for this test was DMSO and the route of administration was intraperitoneal. The dosage levels were 0.09 ml/kg, 0.3 ml/kg and 0.9 ml/kg. Negative control animals received 0.27 ml/rat, this being equal to the largest volume of compound administered. Positive control animals received 0.3 mg/kg TEM intraperitoneally acute in a vehicle of 0.1 ml of 0.85% saline/rat.

Male numbers	Treatment	Dose, ml/kg	Route	Total vol. admin., ml/rat/day
61-70	JP-4	0.1	IP	0.115
71-80	JP-4	0.3	IP	0.15
81-90	JP-4	0.9	ΙP	0.25
131-140	(NC) DMSO	-	IP	0.27
141-150	(PC) TEM	0.3 mg/kg	ΙP	-

IP = intraperitoneal

NC = negative control

PC = positive control

RESULTS

The results are presented in the following tables.

DOMINANT LETHALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Both pre- and postimplantation losses contribute to dominant lethality. The former is reflected in the total number of implantation sites per pregnant female and strictly measured by the difference between the number of corpora lutea gravidus and the number of implantation sites. Toxic or physiological effects on sperm may also reduce the number of implantation sites. Therefore, unless subtle physiological effects on sperm can be discounted, preimplantation loss is not as rigorous an indication of dominant lethality as postimplantation loss. Postimplantation losses are measured as early and late fetal deaths plus the number of resorption sites.

Dominant lethality is typically determined from: 1) A mutation index derived from the ratio of dead to total implants; or 2) the number of dead implants per pregnant female. In interpreting these values it must be remembered that the former measurement reflects both pre- and postimplantation losses and that the ratio is affected by changes in either the numerator or the denominator. For this reason the second parameter is perhaps a better indicator of postimplantation loss. This becomes especially so if one concurrently examines the number of living embryos per pregnant female. The two sets of data should be inversely related. In other words if true dominant lethality is being observed then a significant increase in the number of dead implants per pregnant female should be accompanied by a significant decrease in the number of living implants per pregnant female.

These ratios are compared with both concurrent and historical control data for significant statistical differences. Dose-related trends are also looked for but may not always be found. For example, some compounds such as EMS tested in mice show a threshold value and then a very steep rise. Certain portions of the response might be missed depending upon the spacing of the dose levels used.

True as opposed to spurious dominant lethality also tends to cluster according to the stage of spermatogenesis affected and typically would not be expected to appear in widely spaced weeks or blocks of weeks.

All data which are indicated as being statistically significant must also be strongly evaluated for their biological significance. By bringing both statistical and biological selective pressures to bear upon the data gathered, an estimate of dominant lethality and of risk to the gene pool should be obtainable.

FERTILITY INDEX

	AKITH DOSE			-	•			
	LUG DOSE			•				
SPECIES: RAIS	0.900U CC/KG	0/ 20 = 0.0	8/ 20 = 0.40	5/ 20 = 0.25	4/ 20 = 0.20*	12/ 20 = 0.60	14/ 20 = 0.70	14/ 20 = 0.70
	0.3000 CC/KG	3/ 20 = 0.15	4/ 20 = 0.20	5/ 20 = 0.25	9/ 20 = 0.45	12/ 20 = 0.60	14/ 20 = 0.70	13/ 20 = 0.65
STUDY: SUBCHRUNIC	0.0900 CC/KG	4/ 20 = 0.20	4/ 20 = 0.20	12/ 20 = 0.60	5/ 20 = 0.254	12/ 20 = 0.60	12/ 20 = 0.60	16/ 20 = 0.80 13/ 20 = 0.65
	POS. CONTROL	6/ 20 = 0.30	5/ 20 = 0.25	6/20 = 0.30	13/ 20 = 0.65	12/ 20 = 0.60	11/20 = 0.55	15/ 20 = 0.75
COMPOUND: JP4	NEG. CONTROL	2/ 20 = 0.10	7/ 20 * 0.35	10/ 20 = 0.50	99.0	0.70	0.85	
103	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT.	~	2 230/ 520 = 0.44	3 260/ 520 = 0.50 10/ 20 =	4 308/ 520 = 0.59 13/ 20 =	5 310/ 520 = 0.60 14/ 20 =	6 304/ 520 = 0.58 17/ 20 =	7 306/ 480 = 0.64 14/ 20 = 0.70
	3		•		-	-	•	,-

NOTE: THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CUNTROL.

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITIMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLOPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERD.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCF In the arithmetic dose column also includes the negative cuntrul.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

DUSE ARITH DUSE	•			*	•		
0.9000 CC/KG LUG	!	/ 8 = 11.13	05.6 = 6.40	05*9 = 4 /	/ 12 = 13.75	/ 14 = 12.93	/ 14 = 12.79
0.3000 CC/KG		68 05*11 ± 5 /95	24/ 5 = 10.80 47	61/ 9 = 6.78* 26	41/ 12 = 11.75 165	78/ 14 = 12.71 191.	58/ 13 = 12.15 179
0.0900 CC/KG	13/ 4 = 3.25	45/ 4 = 11.25	118/ 12 = 9.83	44/ 5 = 8.80	139/ 12 = 11.58	142/ 12 = 11.83 1	119/ 16 = 11.19
POS. CONTRUL	64/ 6 = 10.67	56/ 5 = 11.20	38/ 6 = 6.33	130/ 13 = 10.00	165/ 12 = 13.75	125/ 11 = 11.36	172/ 15 = 11.47
	2313/ 201 = 11.51 15/ 2 = 7.50	2568/ 230 = 11.17 50/ 7 = 7.14	1 3039/ 260 = 11,69 93/ 10 = 9,30		3915/ 310 = 12.63 191/ 14 = 13.64	3571/ 304 = 11.75 226/ 17 = 13.29	7 3803/ 306 = 12.43 183/ 14 = 13.07 172/ 15 = 11.47 179/ 16 = 11.19 158/ 13 = 12.15 179/ 14 = 12.79
	NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE	POS. CONTRUL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE 0 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 \$	NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSF 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 \$ 10/ 7 = 7.14 56/ 5 = 11.20 45/ 4 = 11.25 46/ 4 = 11.50 89/ 8 = 11.13	NEG. CONTROL 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 \$ 16/ 7 = 7.14 56/ 5 = 11.20 45/ 4 = 11.25 46/ 4 = 11.50 89/ 8 = 11.13 17/ 10 = 9.30 38/ 6 = 6.33 118/ 12 = 9.83 54/ 5 = 10.80 47/ 5 = 9.40	NEG. CONTROL NEG. CONTROL 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 5 = 11.50 89/ 8 = 11.13 15/ 10 = 9.30 38/ 6 = 6.33 118/ 12 = 9.83 54/ 5 = 10.80 47/ 5 = 9.40 16/ 13 = 11.08 130/ 13 = 10.00 44/ 5 = 8.80 61/ 9 = 6.78* 26/ 4 = 6.50	NEG. CONTROL 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 \$ 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 \$ 16/ 7 = 7.14 56/ 5 = 11.20 45/ 4 = 11.25 46/ 4 = 11.50 89/ 8 = 11.13 13/ 10 = 9.30 38/ 6 = 6.33 118/ 12 = 9.83 54/ 5 = 10.80 47/ 5 = 9.40 14/ 13 = 11.08 130/ 13 = 10.00 44/ 5 = 8.80 61/ 9 = 6.78* 26/ 4 = 6.50 14/ 14 = 13.64 165/ 12 = 13.75 139/ 12 = 11.58 141/ 12 = 11.75 165/ 12 = 13.75 \$	NEG. CONTROL NEG. CONTROL 15/ 2 = 7.50 64/ 6 = 10.67 13/ 4 = 3.25 36/ 3 = 12.00 0/ 0 = 0.0 5 10/ 7 = 7.14 56/ 5 = 11.20 45/ 4 = 11.25 46/ 4 = 11.50 89/ 8 = 11.13 13/ 10 = 9.30 38/ 6 = 6.33 118/ 12 = 9.83 54/ 5 = 10.80 47/ 5 = 9.40 14/ 13 = 11.08 130/ 13 = 10.00 44/ 5 = 8.80 61/ 9 = 6.78* 26/ 4 = 11.50 89/ 8 = 11.13 13/ 10 = 9.30 14/ 13 = 11.08 14/ 13 = 11.08 14/ 13 = 11.08 14/ 15 = 11.75 15/ 12 = 13.75 15/ 12 = 13.75 16/ 17 = 13.29 17/ 14 = 13.29 18/ 15 = 11.35

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DUSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE

THE THO COLUMNS TOENTIFIED AS LOG DUSE AND ARITHMETIC DUSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THF SLOPE Of the regression line from zero.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE In the arithmetic dose column also includes the negative control.

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

DUSE ARITH DUSE							
	!	8.63	3.60	••00	1,58	12.9	6.43
0.006 •0	= 0 /0	1 = 8 /651	1 = 5 /89	1 = 5 /95	211/ 12 = 1	227/ 14 = 10	230/ 14 = 1/
0.3000 CC/KG	47/ 3 = 15.67	69/ 4 = 17.25	76/ 5 = 15.20	119/ 9 = 13.22	185/ 12 * 15.42	19/ 14 = 15.64	107/ 13 = 15.92
0.0900 CC/KG		71/ 4 = 19.25	168/ 12 = 14.00	67/ 5 = 13.40	186/ 12 = 15.50	177/ 12 = 14.75	258/ 16 * 16.13
POS. CONTRUL	75/ 6 = 12.50	19/ 5 = 15.80*	11.51 = 9 /16	205/ 13 = 15.77	200/ 12 = 16.67	163/ 11 = 14.82	230/ 15 = 15,33
K HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL	~	3407/ 230 = 14.81 130/ 7 = 18.57	3947/ 260 = 15.18 152/ 10 = 15.20		5058/ 310 = 16.32 239/ 14 = 17.07	4631/ 304 = 15.23 259/ 17 = 15.24	7 4700/ 306 = 15.36 225/ 14 = 16.07 230/ 15 = 15.33 258/ 16 = 16.13 207/ 13 = 15.92 230/ 14 = 16.43
	POS. CONTRUL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE	PUSS. CONTRUL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 10G DUSE 0 75/ 6 = 12.50 69/ 4 = 17.25 47/ 3 = 15.67 0/ 0 = 0.0	POS. CONTRUL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 10G DUSE 75/ 6 = 12.50 69/ 4 = 17.25 47/ 3 = 15.67 0/ 0 = 0.0 79/ 5 = 15.80* 77/ 4 = 19.25 69/ 4 = 17.25 149/ 8 = 18.63	POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 10G DUSE 75/ 6 = 12.50 69/ 4 = 17.25 47/ 3 = 15.67 0/ 0 = 0.0 77/ 5 = 15.80* 77/ 4 = 19.25 69/ 4 = 17.25 149/ 8 = 18.63 91/ 6 = 15.17 168/ 12 = 14.00 76/ 5 = 15.20 68/ 5 = 13.60	POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 10G DUSE 75/ 6 = 12.50 69/ 4 = 17.25 47/ 3 = 15.67 0/ 0 = 0.0 79/ 5 = 15.80* 77/ 4 = 19.25 69/ 4 = 17.25 149/ 8 = 18.63 91/ 6 = 15.17 168/ 12 = 14.00 76/ 5 = 15.20 68/ 5 = 13.60 4 205/ 13 = 15.77 67/ 5 = 13.40 119/ 9 = 13.22 56/ 4 = 14.00	POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 106 DUSE 0 75/6 = 12.50 69/4 = 17.25 47/3 = 15.67 0/0 = 0.0 10/0 7 79/5 = 15.80* 71/4 = 19.25 69/4 = 17.25 149/6 8 = 18.63 0 91/6 = 15.17 168/12 = 14.00 76/5 = 15.20 68/5 = 13.60 4 205/13 = 15.77 67/5 = 13.40 119/9 = 13.22 56/4 = 14.00 7 200/12 = 16.67 186/12 = 15.50 185/12 = 15.42 211/12 = 17.58	POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 106 DUSE 0 75/ 6 = 12.50 69/ 4 = 17.25 47/ 3 = 15.67 0/ 0 = 0.0 106 DUSE 7 79/ 5 = 15.80* 77/ 4 = 19.25 69/ 4 = 17.25 149/ 8 = 18.63 0 91/ 6 = 15.17 168/ 12 = 14.00 76/ 5 = 15.20 68/ 5 = 13.60 4 205/ 13 = 15.77 67/ 5 = 13.40 119/ 9 = 13.22 56/ 4 = 14.00 7 200/ 12 = 16.67 186/ 12 = 15.50 185/ 12 = 15.42 211/ 12 = 17.58 4 163/ 11 = 14.82 177/ 12 = 14.75 219/ 14 = 15.64 227/ 14 = 16.21

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE:

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FRUN ZERD.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONFROL.

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DUSE							
	Lug DOSE	•						
SPECIES: RATS	0.9000 CC/KG	0.0 = 0 /0	05.1 = 8 /09	21/ 5 = 4.20	30/ 4 = 7.50	46/ 12 = 3.83	45/ 14 = 3.29	51/ 14 = 3.64
	0.3000 CC/KG	11/ 3 = 3.67	23/ 4 = 5.75 60/ 8 = 7.50	22/ 5 = 4.40	15/ 13 = 5.77 23/ 5 = 4.60 58/ 9 = 6.44 30/ 4 = 7.50	44/ 12 = 3.67	41/ 14 = 2.93	49/ 13 = 3.77
STUDY: SUBCHRUNIC	0.0900 CC/KG	26/ 4 = 14.00	32/ 4 = 8.00	50/ 12 * 4.17	23/ 5 = 4.60	47/ 12 = 3.92	35/ 12 = 2.92	46°5 = 91 /61
	POS. CONTROL	11/ 6 = 1.83	23/ 5 = 4.60 32/ 4 = 8.00	53/ 6 = 8.83 50/ 12 = 4.17 22/ 5 = 4.40 21/ 5 = 4.20	15/ 13 = 5.77	35/ 12 = 2.92	36/ 11 = 3.45 35/ 12 = 2.92 41/ 14 = 2.93 46/ 14 = 3.29	58/ 15 = 3.87 79/ 16 = 4.94 49/ 13 = 3.77 51/ 14 = 3.64
COMPOUND: JP4	. NEG. CONTRUL	710/ 201 = 3.53 17/ 2 = 8.50	839/ 230 = 3.65 80/ 7 = 11.43	908/ 260 = 3.49 59/ 10 = 5.90	45/ 13 = 3.46	48/ 14 = 3.43	33/ 17 = 1-94	42/ 14 = 3.00
		1 710/ 201 = 3.53	2 839/ 230 = 3.65	3 908/ 260 = 3.49	4 884/ 308 = 2.87 45/ 13 = 3.46	5 1143/ 310 = 3.69 48/ 14 =	6 1060/ 304 = 3.49 33/ 17 = 1.94	7 897/ 306 = 2.93 42/ 14 = 3.00

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE:

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LUG DUSE AND ARITHMETIC DUSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLOPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERO.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

i

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	AKITH DOSE							*
	LUG DOSF							•
SPECIES: RAIS	0.9000 CC/KG	0.0 = 0 /0	17 8 ≈ 0.88	10/ 5 = 2.00	00*1 * 4 /5	27/ 12 = 2.25	59°0 = 51 /6	964-1 = 41 /02
	0.3000 CC/KG	0/3=0.0	2/ 4 = 0.50	$6/5 = 1.20 10/5 \approx 2.00$	00 *1 = 4 /4 00 = 6 /6	10/ 12 = 0.83	17/ 14 = 1.21	10/ 13 = 0.77
STUDY: SUBCHRONIC	0.0900 CC/KG	0.0 = 4 /0	1/ 4 = 0.25	8/ 12 = 0.67	5/ 5 = 1.00	15/ 12 = 1.25	14/ 12 = 1.17	1/ 16 = 0.44
	POS. CONTROL	52/ 6 = 8.67*	28/ 5 = 5.60** 1/ 4 = 0.25	25/ 6 = 4.17* 8/ 12 = 0.67	57/ 13 = 4.38	47/ 12 = 3.92	36/ 11 = 3.27	14/ 15 = 0.93 7/ 16 = 0.44 10/ 13 = 0.77 20/ 14 = 1.434
COMPOUND: JP4		258/ 201 = 1.28 2/ 2 = 1.00	0 4/ 7 = 0.57	354/ 260 = 1.36 13/ 10 = 1.30	0.78 27/ 13 = 2.08	16 27/ 14 = 1.93	366/ 304 = 1.20 14/ 17 = 0.82	1 5/ 14 = 0.36
		1 258/ 201 = 1.28	2 253/ 230 = 1.10	3 354/ 260 = 1.3	4 239/ 308 = 0.7	5 328/ 310 = 1.06	6 366/ 304 = 1.2	7 296/ 306 = 0.97 5/ 14 = 0.36

THE SYMBOL . DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE:

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANI DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERO.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

	ARITH DOSE							•
	100 00SE							
SPECIES: RAIS	0.9000 CC/KG	0.0 = 0 /0	4/ 8 = 0.50	08.0 = 5 /7	2/ 4 = 0.50	9/12 = 0.75	1/ 14 = 0.50	99.0 = \$1 /6
	0.3000 CC/KG	0/3 = 0.0	2/ 4 = 0.50	2/ 5 = 1.00	44.0 = 6 /4	4/ 12 = 0.33	£5.0 = 51 /9	7/ 13 = 0.54
STUDY: SUBCHRONIC	0.0900 CC/KG	0.0 = 7 /0	1/ 4 = 0.25	6/ 12 = 0.50	3/ 5 = 0.60	8/ 12 = 0.67	8/ 12 = 0.67	5/ 16 = 0.31
	POS. CONTROL	00.1 = 9 /9	5/ 5 = 1.00	00.1 = 9 /9	11/ 13 = 0.85	12/ 12 = 1.00	6/ 11 = 0.73	8/ 15 = 0.53
COMPOUND: JP4	NEG. CONTROL	1/ 2 = 0.50	4/ 7 = 0.57	01.0 = 01 /1	6/ 13 = 0.46	9/ 14 = 0.64	8/ 17 = 0.47	3/ 14 = 0.21
H00	HIST. NEG. CONT.	91/ 201 = 0.48	2 115/ 230 * 0.50	3 129/ 260 = 0.50	4 142/ 308 = 0.46	5 153/ 310 = 0.49	152/ 304 = 0.51	7 153/ 306 = 0.50 3/ 14 = 0.21
	WEEK	_	.,	100	-	-,	~	,-

THE SYMBOL * DENDIES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DUSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE:

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLAPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERD.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTROL.

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

	ARITH DUSE		•					
	LOG DOSE							
SPECIES: RATS	0.9000 CC/KG	0.0 = 0.0	3/ 8 = 0.38	0.5 = 0.40	1/ 4 = 0.25	2/ 12 = 0.17	2/ 14 = 0.14	5/ 14 = 0.36
	0.3000 CC/KG	0, 3 = 0.0	0.0 = 7 /0	1/5 = 0.20	2/ 9 = 0.22	3/ 12 = 0.25	4/ 14 = 0.29	2/ 13 * 0.15
STUDY: SURCHRONIC	0.0900 CC/KG	0.0 = 4 /0	0.0 = 7 /0	2/ 12 = 0.17	1/5 = 0.20	4/ 12 = 0.33	4/ 12 = 0.33	2/ 16 = 0.13
	POS. CONTROL	00.1 = 9 / 9	*/ 5 = 0.80*	+00*1 = 9 /9	8/13 = 0.62	9/ 12 = 0.75	5/ 11 = 0.45	4/ 15 = 0.27
COMPOUND: JP4	NEG. CONTROL	1/ 2 = 0.50	0.0 = 1 / 0	3/ 10 = 0.30	5/ 13 = 0.38	8/14 = 0.57	4/ 17 = 0.24	2/ 14 = 0.14
100	WEEK HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL	47/ 201 = 0.23	2 45/ 230 = 0.20	3 53/ 260 = 0.20	4 43/ 308 = 0.14	5 78/ 310 = 0.25	6 72/ 304 = 0.24	7 84/ 306 = 0.27
	WE	-	•••	,-1	•	•,	~	, -

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE OF THE REGRESSION LINE FROM ZERO. THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DUSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE:

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE IN THE ARITHMETIC DOSE COLUMN ALSO INCLUDES THE NEGATIVE CONTRUL.

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

ARITH DUSE							\$
LUG DUSE			*				*
0.9000 CC/KG	0,0 = 0,0	80 = 0.08	10/ 47 = 0.21	4/ 26 = 0.15	27/165 = 0.16	9/181 = 0.05	20/179 = 0.114
0.3000 CC/KG	0/36 = 0.0	2/ 46 = 0.04	11.0 = % /9	51.0 × 19 /6	10/141 = 0.07	17/178 = 0.10	10/158 = 0.06
0.0900 CC/KG	0/ 13 = 0.0	1/ 45 = 0.02	8/118 = 0.07	5/ 44 = 0.11	11.0 = 951/51	14/142 = 0.10	7/179 = 0.04
POS. CONTROL	52/ 64 = 0.81	28/ 56 = 0.50*	25/ 38 = 0.66*	57/130 = 0.44	47/165 = 0.28+	36/125 = 0.29+	14/172 = 0.08
	2/ 15 = 0.13	4/ 50 = 0.08	13/ 93 = 0.14	27/144 = 0.19	27/191 = 0.14	14/226 = 0.06	5/183 = 0.03
REEK HIST. NEG. CONT.	258/2313 = 0.11	2 253/2568 = 0.10	3 354/3039 = 0.12	239/3943 = 0.06	5 328/3915 * 0.08	6 366/3571 = 0.10	7 296/3803 = 0.08
	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE 150/2313 = 0.11 2/15 = 0.13 52/64 = 0.81 0/13 = 0.0 0/36 = 0.0 0/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/0 = 0.0 1/	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE 158/2313 = 0.11 2/15 = 0.13 52/64 = 0.81 0/13 = 0.0 0/36 = 0.0 0/0 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1/18 = 0.0 1	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL 0.0900 CC/KG 0.3000 CC/KG 0.9000 CC/KG 106 DUSE 158/2313 = 0.11 2/ 15 = 0.13 52/ 64 = 0.81 0/ 13 = 0.0 0/ 36 = 0.0 0/ 0 = 0.0 1/ 89 = 0.08 154/3039 = 0.12 13/ 93 = 0.19 52/ 38 = 0.66** 8/118 = 0.07 6/ 54 = 0.11 10/ 47 = 0.21 58 13/ 39/3943 = 0.06 2/ 46 = 0.15 1/ 25 = 0.15 1/ 25 = 0.15	HIST. NEG. CONT. HIST. NEG. CONTROL POS. CONTROL O. 04900 CC/KG O. 3000 CC/KG O. 3000 CC/KG O. 3000 CC/KG O. 9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE 159/2313 = 0.11 2/ 15 = 0.13 52/ 64 = 0.81 O/ 13 = 0.0 O/ 36 = 0.0 O/ 36 = 0.0 O/ 36 = 0.0 O/ 0 = 0.0	HIST. NEG. CONT. NEG. CONTROL POSS. CONTROL O. 04900 CC/KG O. 3000 CC/KG O. 9000 CC/KG LUG DUSE 158/2313 = 0.11

THE SYMBOL * DENOTES ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE AT THE DOSE LEVELS COMPARED WITH THE NEGATIVE CONTROL. NOTE

THE TWO COLUMNS IDENTIFIED AS LOG DOSE AND ARITHMETIC DOSE ARE USED TO NOTE ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVIATION OF THE SLUPE Of the regression line from Zero.

SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LOG DOSE COLUMN IS CALCULATED USING THE LEVELS OF THE TEST COMPOUND, WHEREAS THE SIGNIFICANCE In the arithmetic dose column also includes the negative control.

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

To ensure an accurate and reliable mutagenicity testing program, LBI instituted the following procedures:

- The test compound was registered in a bound log book recording the date of receipt, complete client identification, physical description and LBI code number.
- Complete records of weights and dilutions associated with the testing of the submitted material were entered into a bound notebook.
- Raw data information was recorded on special printed forms that were dated and initialed by the individual performing the data collection at the time the observations were made. These forms were filed as permanent records.
- All animal tissue S-9 preparations used in the activation tests were taken from dated and pretested frozen lots, each identified by a unique number. The S-9 preparations were monitored for uniformity and the information was recorded.

APPENDIX A

Analysis of Data

1. Fertility Index

- a. The fertility index is defined as F.l. = # of pregnant females/ # of mated females. It is calculated for each week (in subacute study) or at the end of 8 weeks (in acute study) and for each dose level, negative control, and positive control.
- b. A chi-square test is used to compare each treatment group and positive control to negative control.

$$\chi_{i}^{2} = \frac{(N_{0} + N_{i}) (n_{0}(N_{i} - n_{i}) - n_{i}(N_{0} - n_{0}) - (N_{0} + N_{i})/2)^{2}}{(n_{0} + n_{i})(N_{0} - n_{0} + N_{i} - n_{i})N_{0}N_{i}}$$

where

 n_i = # impregnated in i-th test group

 n_{\cap} = # impregnated in negative control group

 N_i = # of females mated in the i-th test group

 N_0 = # of females mated in negative control group

A 2 \times 2 table is formed as follows:

Significance at the 5 and 1% levels is indicated with asterisks.

c. Armitage's trend for linear proportions is used to test whether the fertility index is linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

The following table is set up:

	-control	dose 1	dose 2	dose 3	dose k	totals
# impreg	n ₀	n ₁	n ₂	n ₃	n _k	t
# not impreg	$N_0 - n_0$	N ₁ - n ₁	N ₂ - n ₂	$N_3 - n_3$	$N_k - n_k$	T - t
totals	N _O	N	N ₂	N ₃	N _k	Т

and Armitage's chi-square is calculated:

$$\chi_A^2 = \chi_{(k-1)}^2 - \chi_1^2$$

where

$$\chi_{1}^{2} = \frac{T(T\sum_{i=0}^{k} n_{i}x_{i} - t\sum_{i=0}^{k} N_{i}x_{i})^{2}}{t(T - t)(T\sum_{i=0}^{k} N_{i}x_{i}^{2} - (\sum_{i=0}^{k} N_{i}x_{i})^{2})}$$

$$\chi^{2}_{(k-1)} = \frac{T^{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{k} i/N_{i} - t^{2}/T)}{t(T-t)}$$

and the x_i are the dose levels. This calculation is repeated with x replaced by \log_{10} x. The 5 and 1% significance levels are indicated by dollar signs.

2. Total Number of Implantations

a. The total number of implantations is evaluated by the Student's t-test to determine whether the average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment group and the positive control group differs significantly from the negative control group.

 n_i = # of pregnant females at dose level i. $u_{i,j}$ = # of implantations for pregnant female j in dose group i.

$$\overline{u}_i = 1/n_i (\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} u_{ij})$$

$$S_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (u_{ij} - \overline{u}_i)^2$$

$$t_i = \overline{u}_0 - \overline{u}_i / (\frac{s_0^2 + s_i^2}{n_0 + n_i - 2} (\frac{1}{n_0} + \frac{1}{n_i}))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

d.f. =
$$n_0 + n_i - 2$$

Significance at the 5 and 1% levels is indicated by asterisks.

b. A regression fit of the average number of implantations, \overline{u}_i , is made for both the arithmetic and logarithmic dose $(x_i$ and $\log x_i)$. The doses x_i are used as independent variables and the fit includes data from the three treatment groups and the control group.

N = total # of pregnant females in all groups.

 x_i = dose/log (dose) for the i-th female.

 U_i = # of implantations for the i-th female.

$$\bar{x}$$
 = $\bar{N}_{i=1}^{N} x_i$

$$SS_{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}$$

$$\overline{U} = A_{i=1}^{N} U_{i}$$

$$SS_{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (U_{i} - \overline{U})^{2}$$

$$S_{xu} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \overline{x})(U_i - \overline{U})$$

B = estimate of slope of regression line = S_{xu}/SS_{x}

A = estimate of intercept of regression line = \overline{U} - \overline{B}

VARU = variance of U about regression line

$$= \frac{SS_u - S_{xu}2/SS_x}{N-2}$$

VARB = variance of B = $\frac{VARU}{SS_v}$

VARA = variance of A = VARU
$$(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{SS_x})$$

TB =
$$B/(VARB)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 = t-statistic for testing the hypothesis that the regression slope is zero

DF =
$$N-2 = \#$$
 of degrees of freedom for T B

CVUX = coefficient of variation of U about
$$x$$

=
$$(VARU.X)^{\frac{1}{2}}/U$$

VARU.X =
$$\frac{1}{N-2}$$
 (SS_U - S²_{XU}/SS_X)

$$= (VARU.X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= (VARB)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Significant difference of the slope from zero is indicated at the 5 and 1% levels in Table 2. Table 2A shows detailed results of the regression analysis.

3. <u>Total Number of Corpora Lutea</u>

(For rats only)

a. The average number of corpora lutea per pregnant female is evaluated by t-test to determine whether each treatment group differed significantly from the control group. Use the equation described in Step 2 above with

 u_{ii} = # of corpora lutea for pregnant female j in dose group i.

b. A regression fit of the average number of corpora lutea per pregnant female is made for both the arithmetic and logarithmic dose. Use the equations described in Step 2 above with

 u_i = # of corpora lutea for the i-th female

4. Preimplantation Losses

(For rats only)

a. The number of preimplantation losses is the number of corpora lutea minus the number of implantations.

 Y_{ij} = preimplantation losses for j-th female in i-th group V_{ii} = # of corpora lutea for j-th female in the i-th group

b. The Freeman-Tukey transformation is applied to the Y_{ij} as follows:

$$f_{ij} = \sin^{-1} \frac{y_{ij}}{V_{ij} + 1} + \sin^{-1} \frac{y_{ij} + 1}{V_{ij} + 1}$$

The t-test is then applied to the f's, comparing the test groups to the negative control. Let

$$\overline{f}_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} f_{ij}$$

$$s_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (f_{ij} - f_i)^2$$

where $n_{\hat{1}}$ = # of pregnant females at dose level i.

Then
$$t = (f_0 - f_i) / [\frac{s_0^2 + s_i^2}{n_0 + n_i - 2} (\frac{1}{n_0} + \frac{1}{n_i})]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

c. Regression analysis is used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female is related to the arithmetic or the log dose. The method is as used in Step 2 above substituting

 ${\rm U}_{\rm i}$ = # of preimplantation losses for the i-th female.

5. <u>Dead Implantations</u>

The dead implants were evaluated by the same statistical techniques that were used in evaluating the total number of implantations.

Substitute

6. Proportion of Females with One or More Dead Implantations

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants is the number of females with dead implants/number of pregnant females. These proportions are analyzed by the same method used to analyze the fertility indices, i.e., by a chi-square test and Armitage's trend.

Substitute n_i = # of pregnant females with one or more dead implants at dose level i and

 N_i = # of pregnant females at dose level i in Step 1 above.

Also a probit regression analysis is done using these proportions, p_i , to determine whether the probit of p_i is linearly related to the log or arithmetic dose. The Biomedical Computer Program BMD03S is used to compute A and B and the χ^2 statistic for the regression equations $y = A + B \times A +$

7. Proportion of Females with Two or More Dead Implantations

The proportion of females with two or more dead implantations is the number of females with two or more dead implants/number of pregnant females. The data are evaluated by the same method used for evaluating the proportion of females with one or more dead implants.

8. Dead Implants/Total Implants

Dead implants/total implants were computed for each female and transformed by way of the Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation prior to being evaluated by t-test to compare each treatment group and positive control to negative control.

Use $y_{i,j} = \#$ dead implants for j-th female in i-th group

 $v_{i,j} = \#$ of total implants for j-th female in i-th group

in the equations in Step 4 above.